

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO		
10/768,768	01/30/2004	Frieder U. Maerz	1/1090-1-C1	7043		
28501 7 MICHAEL P. M	7590 · 05/17/2007 MORRIS		EXAM	IINER		
BOEHRINGER INGELHEIM CORPORATION			WANG, S	WANG, SHENGJUN		
900 RIDGEBUF P. O. BOX 368	RY ROAD		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
RIDGEFIELD,	CT 06877-0368		1617	1617		
		•				
	10		· MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			05/17/2007	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
·	•••	10/768,768 MAERZ, FRIEDER U.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Shengjun Wang	1617	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ress
Period for Reply		·	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim iill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	J. lely filed the mailing date of this com D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under E.	action is non-final. ice except for formal matters, pro		nerits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) <u>17-36</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>17-36</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the d Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFF	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	have been received. have been received in Application by documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National S	tage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	te	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/768,768 Page 2

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

This application is a continuation application of application 09/337789.

1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 3. Claims 17-28, 30-33, and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kielley et al. (US 5,114,979, IDS), in view of Geimer (WO 97/18902, US 6,053,36% is the English equivalent).
- 1. Kielley teaches a nasal spray composition comprises essentially decongestant oxymetazoline HCl, sorbitol, a buffer such as phosphate, and aqueous solvent. The amount of the decongestant employed is known in the art to be 0.01% to 0.1% by weight. The composition also contains fruit flavor for masking the after-taste. See columns 2-3 and the claims. Kielley also reveals that similar composition but without the fruit flavor is known in the art. See, column 1, lines 9-38. The amount of sorbitol is about 2.8 to 4.9% by weight (4 –7% of 70% sorbitol solution), the pH is within the range of 4.0 to 6.5, sodium hydroxide may be used for adjust the

Application/Control Number: 10/768,768

Art Unit: 1617

pH. (column 1, lines 56-68, and the examples). The composition is isotonic, any tonicity agents

Page 3

well known in the art may be used instead of sorbitol. (col. 2, lines 55-62). Kielley also discloses

a container (inhaler) containing the nasal spray composition and with means for dispensing the

composition to nasal cavity. See, particularly the claims.

2. Kielley does not teach expressly a inhaler containing the isotonic formulation comprising

oxymetazoline; sorbitol; water, or mixture of water/ethanol; and an inorganic buffer, wherein the

inhaler comprises an oligodynamic active metal, such a s silver.

3. However, Geimer teaches an aerosol dispensing apparatus for fluid from a storage vessel.

The apparatus is characterized as having a fluid outlet area with a surface having substance

which have an oligodynamic effect or germ-reducing properties for sterilizing, degerminating or

reducing the germs in fluid, wherein the substance is silver. See, particularly the claims.

4. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art,

at the time the claimed the invention was made, to make a inhaler containing the isotonic

formulation comprising oxymetazoline; sorbitol; water, or mixture of water/ethanol; and an

inorganic buffer, wherein the inhaler comprises an oligodynamic active metal, such a s silver.

A person of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make a inhaler containing the

isotonic formulation comprising oxymetazoline; sorbitol; water, or mixture of water/ethanol; and

an inorganic buffer, wherein the inhaler comprises an oligodynamic active metal, such a silver

because the inhaler with the oligodynamic active metal will prevent the fluid from contamination

by microorganism. Further, the employment of the well-known buffer systems herein is within

the purview of skilled artisan to reach the designed pH. Furthermore, the optimization of a result

Art Unit: 1617

effective parameter, e.g., the amounts of tonicity agents, pH etc within the disclosed range, is considered within the skill of the artisan. See, <u>In re Boesch and Slaney</u> (CCPA) 204 USPQ 215.

- 4. Claims 34 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kielley et al. (US 5,114,979, IDS), in view of Geimer (WO 97/18902, US 6,053,36% is the English equivalent) for reasons discussed above, and in further view of Guy (US 5,540,930).
- 5. Kielley et al. and Geimer as whole do not teach expressly the employment of glycerol.
- 6. However, Guy et al. teaches that glycerol is a well-known tonicity agent used in topical pharmaceutical composition. The amount employed is about 2.0 to 2.8% by weight. See, particularly, col. 4, lines 30-35.

Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to use glycerol as tonicity agent in the composition of Kielley et al. as Kielley disclosed that any suitable tonicity agents may be used and glycerol is a known tonicity agent suitable for topical pharmaceutical composition.

- 5. Claim 29 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kielley et al. (US 5,114,979), in view of Geimer (WO 97/18902, US 6,053,369 is the English equivalent) for reasons discussed above, and in further view of Merck Index, 11th Edition, pages 1102.
- 6. Kielley et al. and Geimer as whole do not teach expressly the employment of ethanol with water as the solvent.
- 7. However, Merck index reveals that oxymetazoline is known to be soluble in water and ethanol. See, pages 1102, items 6919.
- 8. Therefore, it would have been prima facie obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the claimed the invention was made, to use water/ethanol mixture as the solvent in the

Application/Control Number: 10/768,768 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

composition of Kielley et al. because oxymetazoline is soluble in water and ethanol. The employment of water/ethanol mixture is an obvious variation of water since oxymetazoline is known to be soluble in water and ethanol.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Shengjun Wang whose telephone number is (571) 272-0632. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 7:00 am to 3:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Shengjun Wang Primary Examiner

5. Vento

Art Unit 1617